UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,529	09/21/2001	Benjamin Renaud	BEAS-01067US0 5297	
²³⁹¹⁰ FLIESLER ME	7590 10/22/200 YER LLP	EXAMINER		
650 CALIFOR 14TH FLOOR	NIA STREET	VU, TUAN A		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· Interview Summary	09/960,529	RENAUD, BENJAMIN	
o microlew Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Tuan A. Vu	2193	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):		
(1) <u>Tuan A. Vu</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Joe O'Malley</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>15 October 2007</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's represen	tative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	·	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>n/a</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the gene reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	ral nature of what was agree et.	ed to if an agreement was	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	copy of the amendments t	er agreed would render the claims hat would render the claims	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	the last Office action has alr ER OF ONE MONTH OR TH NTERVIEW SUMMARY FO	eady been filed, APPLICANT IS IIRTY DAYS FROM THIS RM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO	
•			
		•	
	•	1	
	- Juan	Anhlu	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an			
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representative discussed with the Examiner about how the BEA's (assignee) online document is actual work done by the Inventor; but that communication had been prohibitive between the (editor/publisher-related) parties involved (from the standpoint of the inventor) in order to identify the authors who had effectuated the writing up of such work, i.e. the effect of which has not effectively support the purport intended by way of the 1.132 declaration with corroborating facts as to identifying the inventor as author of the matter represented by such document. The Examiner has suggested (i) a demonstrative exhibit showing mapping of work done by the inventor with parts of said BEA reference including clear explanation therefor signed by the inventor; or (ii) a statement by the assignee confirming that some part of the matter in such document falls under the inventor's own work. The representative mentioned that the effect of maintaining the affidavit about applicant's work inside said document would have to be followed through, and pending the examiner's first Office Action responsive to the RCE submission of record, another written response will have to be effected according to such sustained intention.